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Abstract

We spend a lot of time of our lives in indoor activities yet we are not well aware about the built environment and mental health. The built environment affects mental health in two major ways. One of them is the characteristics of the built environment which has a direct impact on mental health and other is the environmental characteristics which includes housing, crowding, noise, indoor air quality and light. Research studies show that high rise & multiple dwelling units adversely affect the mental health of mothers & young children. Several research studies show that neighbourhood quality has adverse impact on children and their families. Noise and psychological distress are positively correlated. It was found that indoor pollutants like lead, solvents and pesticides have been associated with mental health outcomes. Several other hazardous materials (mercury, manganese, organic solvent) produce neuropsychiatric symptoms including anxiety, depression, irritability, and concentration difficulties. When people discover that they were exposed to hazardous chemicals then they show the symptoms of psychological distress. Studies indicate that people recover from cognitive fatigue and stress in the natural environment. People living in natural environment feel safer, recover cognitive energy and gain more psychophysiological recovery.
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Major concepts and Definitions

Mental Health

The World Health Organisation defines mental health as "a state of well-being in which every individual realizes his or her own potential, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to her or his community"(WHO,2013) In this, the absence of mental disorder does not necessarily mean the presence of good mental health (Keyes, 2005 and Barry, 2009). It means that mental disorder persons can also lead a satisfying, meaningful life and contribute towards the society alongwith constraints of painful, distressing or debilitating symptoms.

Mental Disorders
Mental disorders include anxiety, depression, schizophrenia, and alcohol and drug dependency. Common mental disorders can result from stressful experiences (Patten, 1991), but also occur in the absence of such experiences; stressful experiences do not always lead to mental disorders. Many people experience sub-threshold mental disorders, which mean poor mental health that does not reach the threshold for diagnosis as a mental disorder (WHO, 2014). Mental disorders and sub-threshold mental disorders affect a large proportion of populations (Murray et al. 2010), The commonly-used term, mental illness, refers to depression and anxiety (also referred to as common mental disorders) as well as schizophrenia and bipolar disorder (also referred to as severe mental illness) (Joint Commissioning Panel for Mental Health, 2013).

All over the globe and particularly in developing countries like India, common mental disorders like anxiety and depression are rising due to many factors like physical, social, economic and political in daily life. The emphasis in this article is to see the impact of physical environment on mental health of a person. Comprehensive strategies to remove the disparities in physical environment will improve mental health and reduce inequality. There is good evidence, for example, that common mental disorders (depression and anxiety) are distributed according to a gradient of economic disadvantage across society (Campion et al, 2013).

**Impact of Physical environment**:-

People spend more than 90% of their lives indoor. Research studies show that built environment also affects mental health. Such physical environment includes housing, crowding, noise, indoor air quality and light. Studies on house type coverage show that high rise, multiple dwelling units are inimical to the psychological well being of mothers with young children and possibly that of young children themselves (Evans et al., 2003 and Freeman et al., 1984). Such psychological distress may be due to social isolation of mothers and restricted play opportunities for children in high rise buildings. This is true for low income families where insufficient resources and space are hindrance in the maintenance social network. People living in poor-quality housing experience stigma and may attribute some of their predicament to themselves (Halpern, 1995 and Kearns et al. 2000). Parents in poor housing are more apt to contend with safety hazards including insufficient safety
protection, close proximity to higher volume street traffic, and a greater number of housing code violations, all of which contribute to childhood injury rates (Gielen et al, 1995; Macpherson et. al. 1998; Mueller et. al, 1990 and O’Campo et al., 2000). There is a growing literature demonstrating that neighbourhood quality has mental health impacts on children and their families, independent of household SES (Wandersman et al., 1998 and Loventhal et al. 2000). Several studies have indicated positive association between aircraft noise exposure, traffic noise from roads and railway track. A study of children exposed to traffic noise from roads and trains in small towns in Austria generated a dose-response function between noise and psychological distress (Lercher et al, 2002). These investigators also uncovered greater adverse impacts among children at higher biological risk (e.g. prematurely). One perspective study with children indicated that 8- to 10-year olds psychological health was adversely impacted by the opening of a new air port (Bullinger et al., 1999). There are also data indicating dose-response functions between airport noise and use of psychotropic drugs in adults (Grandjean et al., 1975 and Knipschild et al., 1977).

Chemical properties of building materials themselves can be toxic, and heating and cooking systems affect air indoor air quality (Evans, 2003) e.g. A gas stove without ventilation increases nitrogen dioxide concentration above typical outdoor level. Several research studies have been conducted on indoor pollutants and mental health. They have pinpointed on malodorous substances and various behavioural toxins (e.g. lead, solvents, pesticides). It is worth brief mention that outdoor ambient pollutants have been associated with mental health outcomes (Evans, 1994 and Rotten & Cohn, 2002). Most research on behavioural toxins such as heavy metals, pesticides and solvents has focused on neurological and cognitive impacts (Araki, 1994). Studies of cognitive deficits associated with early childhood lead exposure are a prime example (Needleman et al. 1979 and Needleman et. al, 1989). It is less well appreciated that several of the behavioural toxins, including lead, also impact psychological well being. Lead e.g. impedes self regulatory behaviour in children (e.g. focused attention, frustration tolerance), which in turn is related to behavioural conduct disorders such as yelling, fighting, and other forms of aggression (Needleman et. al, 1979 and Sciarillo et al., 1992). Several other hazardous materials (mercury, manganese, organic solvents) produce neuropsychiatric symptoms including anxiety, depression, irritability and
concentration difficulties (Bell et al., 2001). Exposure to heavy metals has also been linked to criminal behaviour in adults (Masters et al., 1998). Some behavioural reactions to toxins are caused by the psychological trauma associated with threats to personal health (Evan, 2003). Community members who discover they have been exposed to hazardous materials reveal multiple indices of psychological distress including fear and panic, sleep disturbance, feelings of loss of control and helplessness, pessimism and fatalism (particularly with respect to future health), and in some cases posttraumatic stress disorder (Edelstein, 1998, 2002).

Seasonal Affective Disorder (SAD) is a form of depression that occurs in relation to the amount of exposure to daylight (Rosenthal et al., 1984). Individuals chronically exposed to shorter hours of daylight suffer more sadness, fatigue, and, for some, clinical depression. Patients hospitalised for severe depression recover more quickly in sunny versus dimly lit rooms (Beauchemin & Hays, 1996). Level of illumination and not spectral frequency is the critical element in SAD (McColl & Veitch, 2001).

Several research studies have demonstrated that exposure to natural environment such as trees, water, and natural landscapes replenishes cognitive energy. It has been observed that natural environment is directly linked to recovery from cognitive fatigue and stress. Self report and cognitive performance data converge on this conclusion (Kaplan et al. 1984; Kaplan et al. 1998 and Kuo, 2001). Psychophysiological recovery from experimental and naturalistic stress or exposure is also facilitated by exposure to natural elements (Parsons et al., 2000 and Ulrich et al., 1993). In addition, views of nature (Kaplan & Kaplan, 1984) and landscape paintings (Ulrich et al., 1993) as well as indoor plants (Larsen et al., 1998) are all associated with increased positive effect and comfort. Several studies reveal correlations between rates of illness and room views of natural landscapers among institutional populations (Kaplan et al., 1993 and Ulrich et al., 1993). Children in day care settings with greater access to nature are less impulsive and concentrate better (McCarney, 1995), children with attentional disorders (e.g. attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder) have fewer behavioural problems when they spend more time in natural setting (Kuo, 2002). Low-income housing areas in London with less access to private gardens have higher prevalence of depression, independent of SES (Weich et al., 2002), and public housing residents living adjacent to their living environment, feel safer, and have more positive effect than others.
from the same housing development living near outdoor spaces devoid of nature (Kuo et al.
2001). Architectural designs of building also have the potential to develop mental health. Architectural features that support fascination, curiosity, or involuntary attention also help in recovery from mental fatigue. Views of nature, fireplaces, fountains, aquarium, and animals as well as paintings of landscape and other coherent, tranquil scences are among the design elements with the potential to afford restoration (Kaplan et. al. 1984 ; Kaplan et. Al., 1998; Frumkin, 2001 and Ulrich, 1991). International effects of physical and social environment have also been found to have significant effect on mental health. The negative effects of housing quality (Earls and Nelson, 1998), residential crowding (Evans et. al, 2000 and Lepore et, al., 1991), noise (Evans et al., 1996) and air quality (Evans et al., 1987) on psychological health are all exacerbated by the presence of other social stressors such as family turmoil or interpersonal loss (Evans, 2003). Such persons who are already in psychosocial turmoil are more psychologically vulnerable to sub optimal physical environmental conditions.
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